

Project
MARTINS PRESS
OLD WOKING
LOCATION PLAN

Drawing Title

Date: AUG 2004
 Scale: N/A
 Project No: 13043
 Drawn by: NPB
 Revision: 01

Barton Willmore
 Architecture

Architects: Master Planners
 Environmental Consultants
 Town Planners
 Project Services

The Barton Willmore Partnership Reading Limited
 Bearshead Farmhouse, Booms Close,
 Calcot, Reading, RG31 7BW.

Tel: 0118 943 0000 Fax: 0118 943 0001
 e-mail: enquiries@bartonwillmore.co.uk

Associated offices at: Belsio, Cambridge; Edinburgh; Leeds; London; West Liding (Den)





**REPRESENTATIONS ON THE WOKING LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK
CORE STRATEGY
SUBMISSION STAGE**

Woking Borough Council has now prepared its Core Strategy for public consultation and submission to the Secretary of State for independent examination. The Core Strategy sets out the Council's approach to development which meets the needs of the Borough and policies to guide development.

- If you wish to support or object to all or part of the Core Strategy please use this form. **There are notes on the back of this form which may help you make your representation.**
- Please **complete in full** and **use a separate form for each representation** that you wish to make. You can generally support the document, or object to specific content and recommend changes.
- You can photocopy the form or obtain further copies from the Civic Offices Planning Reception at the address below, or from Byfleet, West Byfleet, Knaphill and Woking libraries, or Sheerwater Neighbourhood Office. You can also find an electronic form and a printable version on our website: www.woking.gov.uk/council/planning/ldf/Core Strategy
- Forms should be returned to: **Planning Policy, Woking Borough Council, Civic Offices, Gloucester Square, Woking, Surrey GU21 6YL**
- Representations received after **5pm on Tuesday 12 December 2006** will not be considered.

SECTION 1: NATURE OF REPRESENTATION

Please **circle one** of the following.

- Are you?
- A. Supporting all of the Core Strategy (go to Section 5)
 - B. Supporting part of the Core Strategy
 - C. Objecting to part of the Core Strategy
 - D. Objecting to the omission of a section or text within the Core Strategy

Please choose and respond to **only one** of the options.

- B. If you are **supporting part of the Core Strategy** to which part of the Core Strategy does your representation relate?

Section Paragraph

Please go to Section 5

- C. If you are **objecting to part of the Core Strategy** to which part of the Core Strategy does your representation relate?

Section Paragraph

Please go to Section 2

- D. If you are **objecting to the omission of a section or text within the Core Strategy** to which part of the Core Strategy does your representation relate?

Section Paragraph

Please go to Section 2

SECTION 2: REASON FOR OBJECTION

Your objection needs to relate to one of the 'tests of soundness'. If you are objecting to the Core Strategy please specify on which of the tests of soundness you consider that this part of the Core Strategy fails. Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the Core Strategy fails

1		It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
2	A	It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community involvement (SCI).
	B	Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
3		The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
4	A	It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
	B	It is inconsistent with national planning policy.
	C	It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy in London).
5		It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
6		The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
7		The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
8		There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
9		The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

SECTION 3: CHANGES TO THE CORE STRATEGY

Please give details of the change(s) you would like to see to the Core Strategy and why, having regard to the test you have specified at 2 above. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy sound. *Please be as precise as possible. (Use additional sheets if necessary.)*

See Attached Notes

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the issues he/she identifies for examination.

SECTION 4: Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the Core Strategy, i.e. before it was submitted for examination?

Yes No

If 'No', can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

matter based a correspondence received from Council - Nov 2006.

SECTION 5

In addition to your written representation you may also choose to make your representation orally at an Examination. Please specify whether your representation can be considered by written representations only or do you consider it necessary to attend the Examination?

Written Representation Attend Examination

If you consider it necessary to attend the Examination please explain why:

To cross-examine Council, if objection not resolved before Examination.

Please note: It is the decision of the Planning Inspectorate as to which procedure to adopt. A hearing will only be necessary where one or more of those making representations wish to be heard.

SECTION 6: Would you like to be notified of the publication of the recommendations of the Planning Inspector appointed to carry out the examination? **YES** NO

SECTION 7: Would you like to be notified of the adoption of the Core Strategy? **YES** NO

SECTION 8: CONTACT DETAILS

Personal Details

Agent Details (if applicable)

Title	Mr.	Mr.
First Name	Michael	Roger
Last Name	Milton	Turnbull
Job Title/ Organisation	Managing Director	Director
Address	Martins Press Group Limited High Street Old Woking Surrey	Barton Willmore 11 - 13 High Street Theale Berks.
Post code	GU22 9LH	RG7 5AH
Telephone No.	0208 974 0382	0118 930 7444
Email Address	mmilton@mpgltd.co.uk	roger.turnbull@bartonwillmore.co.uk

Signed: R

A

Date: 11th December 2006

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE ADDED TO THE COUNCIL'S CONSULTATION DATABASE FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONSULTATION OF THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK PLEASE TICK

WOKING CORE STRATEGY
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON BEHALF OF MARTINS PRESS

Policy GB1

We seek a new policy or an amended Policy GB1 which allow for redevelopment of major developed sites in the Green Belt with specific reference to Martins Press, High Street, Old Woking because of the scale of existing development (around 100,000 sq ft floorspace), the Council's acceptance that it is a redundant industrial site which is suitable in principle for re-development and because it allows Brownfield land to be developed before green field of sites eg. long term development sites.

Its re-development would also provide around 12 hectares of alternative open space to be provided to mitigate the impact of development on Special Protection Areas, which is considered to amount to exceptional circumstances, taking account of other planning benefits, to justify re-development in the Green Belt, e.g. recycling brownfield land and a financial contribution towards affordable housing.

Section 3 para. 7.20 – 7.23 Policy GB1